10/532,756 TORMO I BLASCO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Kevin E. Weddington 1614 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Saskia Paehler (BASF). Kevin E. Weddington. (2) Andrew Meikle. (4)_____. Date of Interview: 28 August 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: The claims in general. Identification of prior art discussed: The prior art of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. q was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the prior art, scope of claims, and supporting comparative test data from specification. Examiner mentioned KSR decision, and applicant pointed out thousands of possible cominbations and unpredictable regarding the prior art.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614 Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required